# EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

original

# Before the DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	RECEIVED
Implementation of Section 309(j)	)	Gen Docket No. 90/11/14 2 2 1994
of the Communications Act Competitive Bidding Treatment of	)	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICAL OFFICE OF SECRETARY
Designated Entities	)	

To: The Secretary

#### **EX PARTE PRESENTATION**

MasTec, Inc. submits an original plus one copy of this memo and attached letter (hand-delivered ex-parte to Robert M. Pepper for inclusion in the record of the above-referenced rule making proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew L. Leibowitz Counsel for MasTec, Inc.

June 22, 1994

Leibowitz and Associates One S.E. Third Avenue Suite 1450 Miami, FL 33131 (305) 530-1322

No. of Copies rec'd\_\_\_\_\_\_

. . . .

. . .

- ----

.

MATTHEW L. LEIBOWITZ JOSEPH A. BELISLE JLA L. FELD KARSTEN AMLIE

OF COUNSEL
AARON P. SHAINIS
LEE PELTZMAN
SANFORD L. BÖMRER

NOT ADMITTED TO

SUITE 1450

SUNBANK INTERNATIONAL CENTER
ONE SOUTHEAST THIRD AVENUE
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131-1715

TELEPHONE (305) 530-1322 TELECOPIER (305) 530-9417 SUITE 500 IZSE 2370 STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20097

June 22, 1994

Mr. Robert M. Pepper Chief, Office of Plans & Policy Federal Communications Commission 1919 M. Street, NW Room 822 Washington, DC 20554

Dear Bob:

Enclosed please find copies of recent correspondence from our office to the various Commissioners with regard to Personal Communications Services.

Sincerely yours,

Matthew L. Leibowitz

MLL/mdr

MATTHEW L LEIBOWITZ JOSEPH A. BELISLE ILA L. FELD KARSTEN AMLIE

OF COUNSEL

AARON P. SHAINIS \*

LEE PELTZMAN \*

SANFORD L. BOHRER

NOT ADMITTED TO

SUITE 145Q

SUNBANK INTERNATIONAL CENTER
ONE SOUTHEAST THIRD AVENUE
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131-1715

TELEPHONE (305) 530-1322 TELECOPIER (305) 530-9417 \$UITE 500 1255 2344 \$THEET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037

June 21, 1994

Via Overnight Delivery

The Honorable Reed E. Hundt Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 814 Washington, DC 20554

Re:

PP Docket No. 93-253 Gen Docket No. 90-314 RM-7140, RM-7175, RM-7618

#### Dear Chairman Hundt:

I would like to follow up on our conversations concerning the proposed participation of Designated Entities, including minorities, in PCS.

It is my understanding that the Commission's staff has under consideration the creation of a separate frequency block for new entities with maximum gross revenues of \$100,000,000. As we discussed, I believe that sole reliance on a gross revenue test will improperly exclude otherwise qualified minorities from participating in PCS as mandated by Congress. Specifically, although my client, MasTec, Inc. has annualized revenues of \$178,126,000, it only had a net income of \$525,000 in 1993. I would respectfully suggest that the FCC consider an alternative test to determine eligibility for this frequency block using a net worth test of \$50,000,000. As of March 31, 1994, MasTec's net worth was approximately \$45,460,000.

I would also like to direct your attention to paragraph 120 of the Commission's <u>Opinion and Order</u>, released on June 13, 1994. In this paragraph the Commission, contrary to past precedent, extends the interests of an entity in control of a license to officers and directors of that entity. I am greatly concerned that this new provision will inadvertently injure minorities and women in competing

------

in PCS. As you are aware, while minorities and women might not hold any significant ownership interests in many telecommunications entities, minorities and women have been appointed as officers and directors of many companies. As a result they have gained significant experience in managing these companies. These people should not be penalized in the upcoming PCS licensing for serving in these capacities. Thus, at least for minorities and women, I would urge the Commission not to extend the attribution rules to officers and directors.

Finally, we have heard rumor that the Commission may retreat from its earlier decision to require Designated Entities to own and control 50.1% of a PCS license. Specifically, some have suggested that Designated Entities need only maintain a 20% economic interest in the licensee. We respectfully urge you to reject this proposal. It is our belief that Designated Entities will have sufficient financing available through joint ventures on a 50.1% • 49.9% basis and that it is contrary to the interests of Designated Entities to reduce this threshold of economic ownership and benefits.

Thank you for your consideration of these issues.

Sincerely yours,

Whatthew L. Leiberuitzme.
Matthew L. Leibowitz

Counsel for MasTec, Inc.

#### MLL/mdr

cc: Karen Brinkmann, Special Assistant

Blair Levin, Chief of Staff

Robert M. Pepper, Chief, Office of Plans and Policy

Donald H. Gips, Deputy Chief, Office of Plans and Policy

William E. Kennard, General Counsel

MATTHEW L. LEIBOWITZ Joseph A. Belisle Ila L. Félo Karsten amlië

OF COUNSEL AARON P. SHAINIS<sup>\*</sup> LEE PELTZMAN<sup>\*</sup> SANFORO L. BOMRER

NOT ADMITTED TO FLORIDA BAR SUITE 1450 SUNBANK INTERNATIONAL CENTER ONE SOUTHEAST THIRD AVENUE MIAMI, FLORIDA 23131-1715

TELEPHONE (305) 530-1322 TELECOPIER (305) 530-9417 SUITE 500 IZSS ZBRO STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037

May 27, 1994

#### Via Facsimile (202) 632-0163

The Honorable James H. Quello Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 802 Washington; DC 20554

Re: Personal Communications Services

Dear Commissioner Quello:

On Tuesday, May 31, 1994, I am meeting with Commissioner Ness and Commissioner Chong to discuss minorities' and women's participation in PCS. In addition, I have a meeting on Wednesday, June 1st, at 8:00 a.m. with Rudy Baca of your Office. If at all possible, I would like to meet with you on Tuesday or early Wednesday morning to express our concerns about recent reports that the FCC may not adopt critical mechanisms which are necessary to implement the statutory requirement of Section 309 (j) (4) (D) of the Communications Act.

We believe PCS will create an historic and unique opportunity to expand the ownership and control of our telecommunications industry to include minorities and women. However, in order for minorities and women to play a meaningful role in PCS, and to raise the requisite capital to compete in the PCS marketplace, the Federal Communications Commission must implement a multi-level flexible approach including a 30 MHz set-aside on the MTA basis, installment payments and tax certificates.

I am attaching to this letter a generic financial cost analysis of constructing a PCS for Miami. Please note that this cost analysis does not include the price of the acquisition of

The Honorable James H. Quello May 27, 1994
Page 2

the frequencies through the auction process or any operating costs. While the analysis was done for the Miami MTA and inclusive BTAs, we believe it is a fair generic representation of most major markets around the country. As you will note, the analysis includes the following variables: a 30 MHz system, a 20 MHz system and a 10 MHz system. In addition thereto, each frequency block is then divided into the Miami MTA and the major BTAs that are included with the MTA (but not all of the BTAs).

The significant conclusion that can be drawn from this analysis is that the cost of construction alone even for the smallest alternative, i.e., a 10 MHz block on the Miami BTA basis, is in excess of \$33,000,000. I think it is fair to state that this will exceed the financial capacity of any Designated Entity that is interested in pursuing participation of PCS in Miami. While it is true that the cost for the smaller BTAs are significantly less, such as a system in Fort Pierce which would only cost a little over \$3,000,000, but when viewed on a potential subscriber basis as a stand alone operation, this is simply an invitation for economic disaster. Thus, one must fairly conclude that the operating assumption by some Designated Entities that they want the FCC to establish the smallest possible set-aside to ensure their ability to independently own and operate a PCS system is simply not economically feasible. Furthermore, I believe that any PCS system without at least approximately 30 MHz of spectrum will be difficult to finance.

I would also like to advise you that in light of the recent concerns that have been expressed by the FCC staff on the constitutionality of set-asides, we are in the process of preparing an updated constitutional legal Memorandum discussing the constitutionality of set-asides. This Memorandum is being prepared by Arthur England who is the former Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court. A copy of the Memorandum will be forwarded to you within the next few days.

In this context, it is critical that the FCC focus on the evaluation of whether or not any bidding credit is economically the functional equivalent of a set-aside. We strongly believe that the answer is no. This belief is supported by the fact that it is overwhelmingly likely that the major telecommunications entities in this country, including MCI, Sprint, and the various Bell operating companies, will overbid the value of the frequencies due to their own strategic needs. Thus, just as we saw in the Paramount acquisition, the real market value and the ultimate acquisition cost will vary significantly. While it is

The Honorable James H. Quello May 27, 1994
Page 3

true that Paramount represented the last major studio available, it is also true that neither Mr. Diller nor Viacom required Paramount for economical survival. This is not the case when it comes to PCS. Most Bell operating companies and long distance carriers view PCS as critical to their economic survival. Thus, not only will they overbid the fair market value, as was the case in Paramount, but I think it is safe to say that when it comes to survival they will bet the ranch, if necessary. Accordingly, it is simply impossible to, at this stage, estimate what a necessary bidding credit will be in order to overcome the anticipated economic survival overbidding. Thus, bidding credits are simply not the functional equivalent of set-asides. As a result, bidding credits cannot fulfill the Congressional mandate to ensure that Designated Entities participate in PCS.

I will call your office on Tuesday morning to see if a meeting is possible.

Pursuant to FCC rules and regulations, I will file with the Office of the Secretary an appropriate Ex Parte Memorandum of this letter.

Sincerely yours,

Matthew L. Leibowitz Counsel for MasTec, Inc.

MLL/mdr

Enclosure

cc: Rudolfo M. Baca, Esq., Legal Advisor Jorge Mas Canosa, MasTec, Inc.

7A 3 00000 50000 25000 75000 75000	CDMA 30MHz Miami BTA 121 12100000 9450000 75000 4650000 2700000	20 2000000 1800000	Ft Pierce  11  1100000  975000	Naples  16 1600000 1500000	West Palm 48 4500000 3825000
25000 75000	121 12100000 9450000 75000	20 2000000 1800000	11 1100000 975000	16 1600000	48 4800000
25000 75000	121 12100000 9450000 75000 4650000	20 2000000 1800000	11 1100000 975000	16 1600000	48 4800000
25000 75000	121 12100000 9450000 75000 4650000	20 2000000 1800000	11 1100000 975000	16 1600000	48 4800000
25000 75000	75000 4650000	2000000 1800000	1100000 975000	1500000	4600000
25000 75000	75000 4650000	2000000 1800000	1100000 975000		4600000
25000 75000 75000	75000 4650000		975000	1500000	
75000 75000	4650000	225000			
75000 75000	4650000	225000			
75000 75000		225000			
75000 75000		225000			
75000	2700000		525000	75000	1350000
		150000	150000	525000	1350000
	1725000	300000	75000	150000	525000
00000	2025000	300000	375000	75000	825000
50000	2625000	225000	450000	75000	675000
00000	2475000	150000	225000	300000	1050000
5,000	\$38,425,000	\$5,150,000	\$3,875,000	\$4,300,000	\$14,200,000
75000	5445000	900000	495000	720000	2070000
40500	1703000	230750	123500	188500	585000
00000	2420000	400000	220000	320000	920000
10000	801250	201250	115000	162500	315000
<b>40000</b>	1452000	240000	132000	192000	552000
5,500	\$11,821,250	\$1,972,000	\$1,085,500	\$1,583,000	\$4,442,000
0,500	\$50,246,250	\$7,122,000	\$4,980,500	\$5,883,000	\$18,642,000
	75000 40500 00000 10000 5,500	5,000 \$38,425,000 75000 5445000 40500 1703000 00000 2420000 10000 801250 80000 1452000 5,500 \$11,821,250	5,000 \$38,425,000 \$5,150,000 75000 5445000 900000 40500 1703000 230750 00000 2420000 400000 10000 801250 201250 80000 1452000 240000 5,500 \$11,821,250 \$1,972,000	5,000 \$38,425,000 \$5,150,000 \$3,875,000 75000 5445000 900000 495000 40500 1703000 230750 123500 00000 2420000 400000 220000 10000 801250 201250 115000 80000 1452000 240000 132000 5,500 \$11,821,250 \$1,972,000 \$1,085,500	5,000 \$38,425,000 \$5,150,000 \$3,875,000 \$4,300,000 75000 5445000 900000 495000 720000 40500 1703000 230750 123500 188500 00000 2420000 400000 220000 320000 10000 801250 201250 115000 182500 80000 1452000 240000 132000 192000

	M	TA VS BTA CO	sts			
		CDMA		-		
		20MHz	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-
	<del></del>		1			
<del></del>	Mismi MTA	Miami BTA	Ft Myers	Ft Pierce	Naples	West Palm
					111	
Sites	215	121	20	11	16	46
8850	21500000	12100000	2000000	1100000	1600000	4600000
Year 1	17550000	9450000	1800000	975000	1500000	3825000
Year 2						
Year 3						
Year 4					I	
Year 5	1200000	1050000	75000			75000
Year 6	1725000	1200000		150000	75000	300000
Year 7	1950000	120000	150000	75000		525000
Year 8	2550000	1650000		375000	75000	450000
Year 9	2775000	1575000	75000		225000	900000
Year 10 ~	1875000	1050000	75000	75000	225000	450000
	i					
Eq Total	\$51,125,000	\$28,195,000	\$4,175,000	\$2,750,000	\$3,700,000	\$11,125,000
	· .					
Site Const	9675000	5445000	900000	495000	720000	2070000
Towers	2840500	1703000	230750	123500	188500	585000
Transmission	4300000	2420000	400000	220000	320000	920000
Shelters	1610000	801250	201250	115000	162500	315000
Engineering	2580000	1452000	240000	132000	192000	552000
Site Total	\$21,005,500	\$11,821,250	\$1,972,000	\$1,085,500	\$1,583,000	\$4,442,000
	ļ				<u> </u>	
Total Cost	\$72,130,500	\$40,016,250	\$8,147,000	\$3,835,500	\$5,283,000	\$15,567,000
			<u> </u>			
perational cos	its are not includ	ed in the abov	e vnwpera	·		
he above data	was obtained fro	om a report "P	CS Market De	emand and S	ystem Engine	ering*
opyright Janu	ery 1994 Moffet,	Larson and Jo	hnson, Inc (N	ILU) and		
conomic and	Management Co	nsultants inter	national, Inc.	(EMCI)		
			1		<u> </u>	
	1					

Sase Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 6 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37, Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering  Site Total \$21	9675000 4300000 1610000	1703000	\$3,800,000 \$00000 \$00000 \$3,800,000 \$3,800,000	Ft Pierce  11 1100000 975000 975000  \$2,075,000  495000  123500	Naples  18 1600000 1500000 1500000  720000 188500 320000	#8 4600000 3825000 \$8,425,000 \$85000 920000
Sites Base 2 Year 1 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37,  Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering Site Total \$21	215 1500000 6125000 6125000 9675000 2840500	121 12100000 9450000 9450000 \$21,550,000 1703000 2420000	20 2000000 1800000 \$3,800,000 900000 230750	11 1100000 975000 975000 32,075,000 495000	18 1600000 1500000 \$3,100,000 720000	48 4600000 3825000 \$8,425,000 2070000 \$85000
Sites Base 2 Year 1 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37,  Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering Site Total \$21	215 1500000 6125000 6125000 9675000 2840500	121 12100000 9450000 9450000 \$21,550,000 5445000 1703000 2420000	20 2000000 1800000 \$3,800,000 900000 230750	11 1100000 975000 975000 32,075,000 495000	18 1600000 1500000 \$3,100,000 720000	48 4800000 3825000 \$8,425,000 2070000 \$85000
Sites Base 2 Year 1 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37,  Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering Site Total \$21	215 1500000 6125000 6125000 9675000 2840500	121 12100000 9450000 9450000 \$21,550,000 5445000 1703000 2420000	20 2000000 1800000 \$3,800,000 900000 230750	11 1100000 975000 975000 32,075,000 495000	18 1600000 1500000 \$3,100,000 720000	48 4800000 3825000 \$8,425,000 2070000 \$85000
Base Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37, Site Const  Towers  Engineering Site Total \$21	9675000 4300000	12100000 9450000 9450000 \$21,550,000 5445000 1703000 2420000	\$3,800,000 900000 230750	\$2,075,000 495000 123500	1600000 1500000 \$3,100,000 720000 188500	\$8,425,000 2070000 \$85000
Base Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37, Site Const  Towers  Engineering Site Total \$21	9675000 4300000	12100000 9450000 9450000 \$21,550,000 5445000 1703000 2420000	\$3,800,000 900000 230750	\$2,075,000 495000 123500	1600000 1500000 \$3,100,000 720000 188500	\$8,425,000 2070000 \$85000
Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 6 Year 7 Year 3 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37, Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering Site Total \$21	6125000 625.000 9675000 2840500 4300000	\$21,550,000 5445000 1703000 2420000	\$3,800,000 900000 230750 400000	975000 975000 32,075,000 495000	\$3,100,000 720000 188500	\$8,425,000 2070000 \$85000
Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37,  Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering  Site Total \$21	9675000 2840500 4300000	5445000 1703000 2420000	\$3,800,000 900000 230750 400000	\$2,075,000 495000 123500	720000 188500	\$8,425,000 2070000 \$85000
Year 4 Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37,  Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering  Site Total \$21	9675000 2840500 4300000	5445000 1703000 2420000	900000 230750 400000	495000 123500	720000 188500	2070000 585000
Year 5 Year 6 Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10 Eq Total \$37, Site Const Towers Transmission Shelters Engineering Site Total \$21	9675000 2840500 4300000	5445000 1703000 2420000	900000 230750 400000	495000 123500	720000 188500	2070000 585000
Year 6 Year 7 Year 3 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37.  Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering  Site Total \$21	9675000 2840500 4300000	5445000 1703000 2420000	900000 230750 400000	495000 123500	720000 188500	2070000 585000
Year 7 Year 8 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37,  Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering  Site Total \$21	9675000 2840500 4300000	5445000 1703000 2420000	900000 230750 400000	495000 123500	720000 188500	2070000 585000
Year 8 Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37,  Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering  Site Total \$21	9675000 2840500 4300000	5445000 1703000 2420000	900000 230750 400000	495000 123500	720000 188500	2070000 585000
Year 9 Year 10  Eq Total \$37,  Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering  Site Total \$21	9675000 2840500 4300000	5445000 1703000 2420000	900000 230750 400000	495000 123500	720000 188500	2070000 585000
Year 10  Eq Total \$37,  Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering  Site Total \$21	9675000 2840500 4300000	5445000 1703000 2420000	900000 230750 400000	495000 123500	720000 188500	2070000
Eq Total \$37.  Site Const  Towers  Trensmission  Shelters  Engineering  Site Total \$21  Total Cost \$58	9675000 2840500 4300000	5445000 1703000 2420000	900000 230750 400000	495000 123500	720000 188500	2070000 585000
Site Const  Towers  Transmission  Shelters  Engineering  Site Total 321  Total Cost \$58	9675000 2840500 4300000	5445000 1703000 2420000	900000 230750 400000	495000 123500	720000 188500	2070000
Towers  Transmission Shelters  Engineering Site Total \$21  Total Cost \$58	2840500 4300000	1703000	230750	123500	188500	585000
Transmission Shelters Engineering Site Total 321 Total Cost \$58	430000	2420000	400000			
Transmission Shelters Engineering Site Total 321 Total Cost \$58	430000	2420000	400000			
Shelters  Engineering  Site Total \$21  Total Cost \$58				220000	320000	920000
Engineering Site Total 321 Total Cost \$58	1610000	801250				
Site Total \$21 Total Cost \$58			201250	115000	182500	315000
Total Cost \$58	2580000	1452000	240000	132000	192000	552000
	,005,500	\$11,821,250	\$1,972,000	\$1,085,500	\$1,583,000	\$4,442,000
Operational costs are	,630,500	\$33,371,260	\$5,772,000	\$3, <u>160,500</u>	\$4,683,000	\$12,867,000
Operational costs are						
<u></u>			i			
The above data was of Copyright January 196	DESIDED IT	om a report P	hason Inc (M	emand and S	Astaus Eudine	ausa_
conomic and Manage	ement Co	nsuitants Inter	national, Inc.	(EMCI)		
PARIMINA MIN MAIN						
		0				
				1		
						í .
					<u> </u>	ļ

						· <del></del>
		CDMA				
	Sub	criber Assump	tions			
	Miami MTA	Miami 6TA	Ft Myers	Ft Pierce	Naples	West Pain
	30 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz
34555		10 MHz	10 MHz	10 MHz	10 MHz	10 MHz
Year 1	4307	1434	147	99	39	433
<del></del>	<u> </u>	598	61	41	16	181
Year 2	10231	3378	358	241	95	1043
	10201	1407	149	101	40	435
	<del> </del>	1707	. 7 9	101	74	730
Year 3	34303	11225	1230	826	329	3541
		4677	512	344	137	1475
	<u> </u>					† ::\ <u>'</u>
Year 4	69612	22575	2555	1712	688	7275
		9406	1085	714	287	3031
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Year 5	126190	40550	4741	3170	1284	13350
		16896	1975	1321	535	5562
Year 6	183480	58405	7054	4705	1922	19643
		24335	2939	1961	801	5155
V 7	741050	67375	0.400	2504	2303	23150
Year 7	213659		8408	5594	980	9646
<del></del>	<del> </del>	28073	3503	2331	990	9040
Year 8	240346	75059	9678	6423	2665	26348
1441.5	270070	31275	4032	2676	1111	10978
	-	-	******			<del>                                     </del>
Year 9	254391	81757	10892	7211	3016	29319
, was w		34086	4538	3005	1257	12216
Year 10	290235	88852	12232	8077	3405	32552
		37022	5097	3365	1419	13563
,,,						
	was obtained for		CG Market D	S has hosms	vetem Engin	eering*
DOVE CALL	ary 1994 Moffet	LAMOR and In	breen ice /	ALD and	Action English	

Page 4

MATTHEW L. LEIBOWITZ JOSEPH A. BELISLE ILA L. FELO KARSTEN AMLIE

OF COUNSEL
AARON P. SHAINIS
LEE PELTZMAN
SANFORD L. BOHRER

\*NOT ADMITTED TO

SUITÉ 1450
SUNGANK INTERNATIONAL CÉNTER
ONE SOUTHEAST THIRD AVENUE
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131-1715

TELEPHONE (305) 530-1322 TELECOPIER (305) 530-9417 SUITE SOO 1255 2340 STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037

May 27, 1994

#### Via Facsimile (202) 632-5168

The Honorable Andrew C. Barrett Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Room 826 Washington, DC 20554

Re: Personal Communications Services

#### Dear Commissioner Barrett:

I am writing you on behalf of my client, Jorge Mas Canosa, a prospective applicant for the new Personal Communications Services (PCS). On Tuesday, May 31, 1994, we are meeting with Commissioner Ness and Commissioner Chong to discuss minorities' and women's participation in PCS. If at all possible, we would like to meet with you to express our concerns about recent reports that the FCC may not adopt critical mechanisms which are necessary to implement the statutory requirement of Section 309 (j)(4)(D) of the Communications Act.

We believe PCS will create an historic and unique opportunity to expand the ownership and control of our telecommunications industry to include minorities and women. However, in order for minorities and women to play a meaningful role in PCS, and to raise the requisite capital to compete in the PCS marketplace, the Federal Communications Commission must implement a multi-level flexible approach including a 30 MHz set-aside on the MTA basis, installment payments and tax certificates.

I am forwarding you by this letter a generic financial cost analysis of constructing a PCS for Miami. Please note that this cost analysis does not include the price of the acquisition of the frequencies through the auction process of any operating costs. While the analysis was done for the Miami MTA and inclusive BTAs, we believe it is a fair generic representation of most major markets around the country. As you will note, the analysis includes the following variables: a 30 MHz system, 20 MHz systems and 10 MHz systems. In addition thereto, each frequency

The Honorable Andrew C. Barrett May 27, 1994 Page Two

(but not all of the BTAs).

The significant conclusion that can be drawn from this analysis is that the cost of construction alone even for the smallest alternative, i.e., a 10 MHz block on the Miami BTA basis is in excess of \$33,000,000. I think it is fair to state that this will exceed the financial capacity of any Designated Entity that is interested in pursuing participation of PCS in Miami. While it is true that the cost for the smaller BTAs are significantly less, such a system in Fort Pierce which would only cost a little over \$3,000,000, when viewed on a potential subscriber basis as a stand alone operation, this is simply an invitation for economic disaster. Thus, one must fairly conclude that the operating assumption by some Designated Entities that they want the FCC to establish the smallest possible set-aside to ensure their ability to independently own and operate a PCS system is simply not economically feasible. Furthermore, I believe that any PCS system without at least approximately 30 MHz of spectrum will be difficult to finance.

I would also like to advise you that in light of the recent concerns that have been expressed by the FCC staff on the constitutionality of set-asides, we are in the process of preparing an updated constitutional legal Memorandum discussing the constitutionality of set-asides. This Memorandum is being prepared by Arthur England who is the former Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court. A copy of the Memorandum will be forwarded to you within the next few days.

In this context, it is critical that the FCC focus on the evaluation of whether or not any bidding credit is economically the functional equivalent of a set-aside. We strongly believe that the answer is no. This belief is supported by the fact that it is overwhelmingly likely that the major telecommunications entities in this country, including MCI, Sprint, and the various Bell operating companies, will overbid the value of the frequencies due to their own strategic needs. Thus, just as we saw in the Paramount acquisition, the real market value and the ultimate acquisition cost will be very significant. While it is true that Paramount represented the last major studio available, it is also true that neither Mr. Diller nor Viacom required Paramount for economical survival. This is not the case when it comes to PCS. Most Bell operating companies and long distance carriers view PCS as critical to the economic survival. Thus, not only will they overbid the fair market value, as was the case in Paramount, but I think it is safe to say that when it comes to survival they will bet the ranch if necessary. Accordingly, it is simply impossible to, at this stage, estimate what a necessary bidding credit will be in order to overcome the anticipated economic survival overbidding. Thus, bidding credits are simply not the functional equivalent of set-asides. As a result, bidding credits cannot fulfill the Congressional mandate to ensure that Designated Entities participate in PCS.

I will call your office today to schedule a meeting at your convenience.

The Honorable Andrew C. Barrett May 27, 1994 Page Three

Pursuant to FCC rules and regulations, I will file with the Office of the Secretary an appropriate Ex Parte Memorandum of this letter.

Sincerely yours,

Matthew L. Leibowitz

Counsel for MasTec, Inc.

MLL/mdr

Enclosure

cc: Jorge Mas Canosa, Mas Tec, Inc.

	M <sup>-</sup>	TA vs BTA Cos	its			
		CDMA				
		30MHz		1.473		
• • •	Miami MTA	Miami BTA	Ft Myers	Ft Plerce	Naples	West Palm
Sites	215	121	20	11	16	46
Base	21500000	12100000	2000000	1100000	1800000	4800000
Year 1	17550000	9450000	000008	975000	1500000	3825000
Year 2						
Year 3		75000				
Year 4						
Year 5	6825000	4650000	225000	525000	75000	1350000
Year 6	4875000	2700000	150000	150000	525000	1350000
Year 7	2775000	1725000	300000	75000	150000	525000
Year 8	4200000	2625000	300000	375000	75000	825000
Year 9	4050000	2625000	225000	450000	75000	675000
Year 10	4200000	2475000	150000	225000	300000	1050000
Eq Total	\$65,975,000	\$38,425,000	\$5,150,000	\$3,875,000	\$4,300,000	\$14,200,00
Site Const	9675000	5445000	900000	495000	720000	2070000
Towers	2840500	1703000	230750	123500	188500	585000
Transmission	4300000	2420000	400000	220000	320000	920000
Shelters	1610000	801250	201250	115000	182500	315000
Engineering	2580000	1452000	240000	132000	192000	552000
Site Total	\$21,005,500	\$11,821,250	\$1,972,000	\$1,085,500	\$1,583,000	\$4,442,00
Total Cost	\$86,980,500	\$50,246,250	\$7,122,000	\$4,960,500	\$5,883,000	\$18,642,00
	ts are not includ		1			
he above data	was obtained in	om a report "P	CS Market Do	emand and S	ystem Engine	ering"
	try 1994 Moffet,				ļ	
conomic and I	Management Co	nsukants inter	rational, Inc.	(EMCI)		1
	·	<del> </del>	<del></del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del>}</del>
			·	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del> </del>
	<del> </del>	<del></del>	<del> </del>	<del>`</del>	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>
,	1		1	1		

	M	A vs BTA Cos	sts .			
		CDMA				
		20MHz				
	natara Barra		Fa b.c		hi-alaa	\#/==+ (D=1==
	Mismi MTA	Miami STA	Ft Myers	Ft Plerce	Naples	West Palm
Sites	215	121	20	11	16	46
Base	21500000	12100000	2000000	1100000	1600000	4800000
Year 1	17550000	9450000	1800000	975000	1500000	3825000
Year 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			
Year 3	,					
Year 4						
Year 5	1200000	1050000	75000			75000
Year 6	1725000	1200000		150000	75000	300000
Year 7	1950000	120000	150000	75000		525000
Year 8	2550000	1650000		375000	75000	450000
Year 9	2775000	1575000	75000		225000	900000
Year 10	1875000	1050000	75000	75000	225000	450000
Eq Total	\$51,125,000	\$28,195,000	\$4,175,000	\$2,750,000	\$3,700,000	\$11,125.00
EQ 10th	491,120,000	<b>\$20,195,000</b>	\$ <del>-</del> 3,173,000	\$2,730,000	43,700,000	411,124,00
Site Const	9875000	5445000	900000	495000	720000	2070000
Towers	2840500	1703000	230750	123500	188500	585000
Transmission	4300000	2420000	400000	220000	320000	920000
Shelters	1610000	801250	201250	115000	162500	315000
Engineering	2580000	1452000	240000	132000	192000	552000
	'			\$1,085,500	\$1,583,000	\$4,442,00
Site Total	\$21,005,500	\$11,821,250	\$1,972,000	\$1,000,300	\$1,083,000	1

	M	TA VS BTA CO	sts	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>
		CDMA				
		10MHz				
	Miami MTA	Miami BTA	Ft Myers	Ft Pierce	Naples	West Palm
Sites	215	121	20	11	18	46
Base	21500000	12100000	2000000	1100000	1800000	4600000
Year 1	16125000	9450000	1800000	975000	1500000	3825000
Year 2		J.10000	1000000		10000	442000
Year 3	<del>ij </del>					
Year 4		,,			<del></del>	
Year 5				[		
Year 6						
Year 7						
Year 8						
Year 9						
Year 10						
Eq Total	\$37,625,000	\$21,550,000	\$3,800,000	\$2,075,000	\$3,100,000	\$8,425,000
Site Const	9675000	5445000	900000	495000	720000	2070000
Towers	2840500	1703000	230750	123500	188500	585000
Trensmission	4300000	2420000	400000	220000	320000	920000
Shelters	1810000	801250	201250	115000	162500	315000
Engineering	2580000	1452000	240000	132000	192000	552000
Site Total	\$21,005,500	\$11,821,250	\$1,972,000	\$1,085,500	\$1,583,000	\$4,442,000
Total Cost	\$58,530,500	\$33,371,250	\$5,772,000	\$3,160,500	\$4,583,000	\$12,867,000
Operational cos	ts are not include	ed in the above	e numbers			
The above data	was obtained for	om a report "P	CS Market Do	emand and S	ystem Engine	ering"
Copyright Janua	ary 1994 Moffet,	Larson and Jo	hnson, Inc (N	(L.i) and		
Economic and I	Management Co	nsultants Inter	national, Inc.	(EMCI)		
	<del> </del>		<del> </del>	-	<del> </del>	
				<del> </del>		<del>}</del> ,,, . →
		<u>:</u>				
			<del></del>		<del></del>	<del> </del>
				<del></del>	<del> </del>	<del></del>
			ì	İ		

4 · · · <del>- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </del>	M	TA VS STA CO	riş.			
	<b>A.</b>	CDMA	dia		,	
	Suc	scriber Assump	tions			
	Mlami MTA	Miami BTA	Ft Myers	Ft Pierce	Naples	West Pain
	30 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz
		10 MHz	10 MHz	10 MHz	10 MHz	10 MHz
Year 1	4307	1434	147	99	39	433
		598	81	41	18	181
Year 2	10231	3378	358	241	95	1043
		1407	149	101	40	435
Year 3	34303	11225	1230	826	329	3541
10010	3,000	4677	512	344	137	1475
Year 4	60640			4540		7076
Year 4	69612	22575 9408	2555 1085	1712	688 287	7275 3031
Year 5	126190	40550	4741	3170	1284	13350
		16896	1975	1321	535	5562
Year 6	183460	58405	7054	4705	1922	19643
		24335	2939	1961	801	8185
Year 7	213659	67375	8408	5594	2303	23150
		28073	3503	2331	980	9646
Year 8	240345	75059	9678	6423	2665	26348
10010	240040	31275	4032	2676	1111	10978
Year 9	264391	81757	10892	7211	3018	29319
1401	204391	34086	4538	3005	1257	12216
Year 10	290235	88852	12232	8077	3405	32552
1 DEI 10	E40E33	37022	5097	3365	1419	13563
above dat	was obtained fr	om a report "P	CS Market D	emand and S	ystem Engin	ering"

MATTHEW L. LESSOWITZ JOSEPH A. BELISLE ILA L. FELD KARSTEN AMUIE

OF COUNTEL
AARON P. SHAINIS\*
LEE PELTZMAN\*
SANFORD L. SOHRER

NOT ADMITTED TO PLORIDA BAR SUITE 1450
SUNGANK INTERNATIONAL CENTER
ONE SOUTHEAST THIRD AVENUE
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131-1715

TELEPHONE (305) 530-1322 TELECOPIER (305) 530-9417 SUITE 500 1255 2370 STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037

May 25, 1994

## Via Facsimile and Overnight Delivery

Ms. Karen Brinkmann, Special Assistant Office of the Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Per our telephone conversation yesterday, I am forwarding you by this letter a generic financial cost analysis of constructing a Personal Communications System (PCS) for Miami. Please note that this cost analysis does not include the price of the acquisition of the frequencies through the auction process or any operating costs. While the analysis was done for the Miami MTA and inclusive BTAs, we believe it is a fair generic representation of most major markets around the country. As you will note, the analysis includes the following variables: a 30 MHz system, 20 MHz systems and 10 MHz systems. In addition thereto, each frequency block is then divided into the Miami MTA and the major BTAs that are included within the MTA (but not all of the BTAs).

The significant conclusion that can be drawn from this analysis is that the cost of construction alone even for the smallest alternative, i.e., a 10 MHz block on the Miami BTA basis is in excess of \$33,000,000. I think it is fair to state that this will exceed the financial capacity of any Designated Entity that is interested in pursuing participation of PCS in Miami. While it is true that the cost for the smaller BTAs are significantly less, such as a system in Fort Pierce which would only cost a little over \$3,000,000, when viewed on a potential subscriber basis as a stand alone operation, this is simply an invitation for economic disaster. Thus, one must fairly conclude that the operating assumption by some Designated Entities that they want the FCC to establish the smallest possible set-aside to ensure their ability to independently own and operate a PCS system is simply not economically feasible. Furthermore, I believe that any PCS system without at least approximately 30 MHz of spectrum will be difficult to finance.

Ms. Karen Brinkmann, Special Assistant May 25, 1994 Page Two

I would also like to advise you that in light of the recent concerns that have been expressed by the FCC staff on the constitutionality of set-asides, we are in the process of preparing an updated constitutional legal Memorandum discussing the constitutionality of set-asides. This Memorandum is being prepared by Arthur England who is the former Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court. A copy of the Memorandum will be forwarded to you within the next few days.

In this context, it is critical that the FCC focus on the evaluation of whether or not any bidding credit is economically the functional equivalent of a set-aside. We strongly believe that the answer is no. This belief is supported by the fact that it is overwhelmingly likely that the major telecommunications entities in this country, including MCI, Sprint, and the various Bell operating companies, will overbid the value of the frequencies due to their own strategic needs. Thus, just as we saw in the Paramount acquisition, the real market value and the ultimate acquisition cost will be very significant. While it is true that Paramount represented the last major studio available, it is also true that neither Mr. Diller nor Viacom required Paramount for economical survival. This is not the case when it comes to PCS. Most Bell operating companies and long distance carriers view PCS as critical to the economic survival. Thus, not only will they overbid the fair market value, as was the case in Paramount, but I think it is safe to say that when it comes to survival they will bet the ranch if necessary. Accordingly, it is simply impossible to, at this stage, estimate what a necessary bidding credit will be in order to overcome the anticipated economic survival overbidding. Thus, bidding credits are simply not the functional equivalent of set-asides. As a result, bidding credits cannot fulfill the Congressional mandate to ensure that Designated Entities participate in PCS.

If you have any questions regarding the economic analysis or the aforementioned arguments, please feel free to call me.

Pursuant to FCC rules and regulations, I will file with the Office of the Secretary an appropriate Ex Parte Memorandum of this letter.

Sincerely yours,

Matthew L. Leibowitz Counsel for MasTec, Inc.

MLL/mdr

Enclosure

cc: Jorge Mas Canosa, MasTec, Inc.

	!M1	TA vs STA Co	sts			
		CDMA				
		30MHz				
	Miami MTA	Miami BTA	Et Muom	Ft Pierce	Naples	, West Palm
	INTERIOR IN IN	MINIMAIN	Ft Myers	LI MIGICE	Mahies	, vvest raiti
Sites	215	121	20	11	16	46
Base	21500000	12100000	2000000	1100000	1500000	4600000
Year 1	17550000	9450000	1800000	975000	1500000	3825000
Year 2				1		
Year 3		75000				
Year 4						
Year 5	5825000	4650000	225000	525000_	75000	1350000
Year 6	4875000	2700000	150000	150000	525000	1350000
Year 7	2775000	1725000	300000	75000	150000	525000
Year 8	4200000	2625000	300000	375000	75000	825000
Year 9	4050000	2625000	225000	450000	75000	575000
Year 10	4200000	2475000	150000	225000	300000	1050000
Eq Total	\$65,975,000	\$38,425,000	\$5,150,000	\$3,875,000	\$4,300,000	\$14,200,00
Olto Const	0071500	C.1.1.7.7.7.		10000	70000	22-22-2
Site Const	9675000	5445000	900000	495000	720000	2070000
Towers	2840500	1703000	230750	123500	188500	585000
Transmission	4300000	2420000	400000	220000	320000	920000
Sheiters	1610000	801250	201250	115000	182500	315000
Engineering	2580000	1452000	240000	132000	192000	552000
Site Total	\$21,005,500	\$11,821,250	\$1,972,000	\$1,085,500	\$1,583,000	\$4,442,00
0,10 (0,0)					-	
Total Cost	\$86,960,500	\$50,246,250	\$7,122,000	54,980,500	\$5,883,000	\$18,642,00
perational cos	ts are not include	ed in the above	e numbers			
he above data	was obtained fro	om a report "P	CS Market D	emand and S	vstem Engine	ering"
opyright Janua	ary 1994 Moffet,	Larson and Jo	hnson, Inc (N	(LJ) and		<del></del> _
	Management Co					
				1		
	<del></del>	<del> </del>			<del>_</del> _	
	[	]			1	1

_	M	A vs BTA Cos	sts			
		CDMA				
		20MHz				-
	Mismi MTA	Miami 81A	Ft Myers	Ft Pierce	Naples	West Paim
Sites	215	121	20	11	16	46
Base	21500000	12100000	2000000	1100000	1600000	4600000
Year 1	17550000	9450000	1800000	975000	1500000	3825000
Year 2		i	,			
Year 3						
Year 4			_			
Year 5	1200000	1050000	75000			75000
Year 6	1725000	1200000	-	150000	75000	300000
Year 7	1950000	120000	150000	75000		525000
Year 8	2550000	1650000		375000	75000	450000
Year 9	2775000	1575000	75000		225000	900000
Year 10	1875000	1050000	75000	75000	225000	450000
Eq Total	\$51,125,000	\$28,195,000	\$4,175,000	\$2,750,000	\$3,700,000	\$11,125,00
Site Const	9675000	5445000	900000	495000	720000	2070000
Towers	2840500	1703000	230750	123500	158500	585000
ransmission	4300000	2420000	400000	220000	320000	920000
Shelters	1610000	801250	201250	115000	162500	315000
Engineering	2580000	1452000	240000	132000	192000	552000
Site Total	\$21,005,500	\$11,821,250	\$1,972,000	\$1,085,500	\$1,583,000	\$4,442,00
Total Cost	\$72,130,500	\$40,016,250	\$8,147,000	\$3,835,500	\$5,283,000	\$15,567,00
				45,838,000	35,253,000	
	ts are not includ		!	<u>'</u>		
ne above data	was obtained from 1994 Moffet,	om a report "P	CS Market Di	emano and si	ystem Engine	enng"
onomic and	Management Co	nsultants inten	national, Inc.	(EMCI)		
		-	<del> </del>			<del> </del>
	Ţ		ļ	<del> </del>	ļ	-
		1	t	ì	1	1

	<u>M</u> 7	A vs BTA Cos	515		·	<u> </u>
		CDMA				
		10MHz				
	Miami MTA	Miami BTA	Ft Myers	Ft Plerce	Naples	West Palm
Sites	215	121	20	11	18	46
Base	21500000	12100000	2000000	1100000	1600000	4600000
Year 1	16125000	9450000	1800000	975000	1500000	3825000
Year 2						
Year 3						
Year 4						
Year 5						
Year 6						
Year 7						
Year 8	<del></del>					
Year 9			1			
Year 10						
Eq Total	\$37,625,000	\$21,550,000	\$3,800,000	\$2,075,000	\$3,100,000	\$8,425,000
Site Const	9875000	5445000	900000	495000	720000	2070000
						50000
Towers	2840500	1703000	230750	123500	188500	585000
Transmission	4300000	2420000	400000	220000	320000	920000
Shelters	1810000	801250	201250	115000	162500	315000
Engineering	2580000	1452000	240000	132600	192000	552000
Site Total	\$21,005,500	\$11,821,250	\$1,972,000	\$1,085,500	\$1,553,000	\$4,442,000
Total Cost	\$58,930,500	\$33,371,250	\$5.772.000	\$3,160,500	\$4.683.000	\$12,867,000
Operational cos	ts are not include	ed in the above	e numbers			
The above data	was obtained fro	om a report "P	CS Market D	emand and S	ystem Engine	ering"
1114 66414 6415	ary 1994 Moffet.	Larson and Jo	hnson, inc (N	(LJ) and		
Copyright Janua			national, Inc.	(EMCI)		<u> </u>
Copyright Janua	Management Co	nsultants Inten				
Copyright Janua	Management Co	nsultants Inten			<del> </del>	<u> </u>
Copyright Janua	Vanagement Co	nsultants Inten				
Copyright Janua	Management Co	nsultants Inten				
Copyright Janua	Management Co.	nsultants Inten				
Copyright Janua	Management Co	nsultants Inten				

	M	TA VS BTA Cos	its			
		CDMA				
	Subscriber Assumptions					<del> </del>
	Miami MTA	Miami BTA	Ft Myers	Ft Pierce	Naples	West Pain
	30 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz	20 MHz
		10 MHz	10 MHz	10 MHz	10 MHz	10 MHz
Year 1	4307	1434	147	99	39	433
		598	61	41	16	181
Year 2	10231	3378	358	241	95	1043
	10407	1407	149	101	40	435
		]	1-10	101		730
Year 3	34303	11225	1230	826	329	3541
		4877	512	344	137	1475
Year 4	69612	22575	2555	1712	588	7275
		9408	1065	714	287	3031
Year 5	126190	40550	4741	3170	1284	13350
		16896	1975	1321	535	5582
				,		
Year 6	183460	58405	7054	4705	1922	19643
		24335	2939	1961	801	8185
Year 7	213659	67375	8408	5594	2303	23150
	21000	28073	3503	2331	960	9646
Year 8	240346	75059	9678	6423	2 <b>66</b> 5	26348
		31275	4032	2676	1111	10978
Year 9	264391	81757	10892	7211	3018	29319
		34066	4538	3005	1257	12216
Year 10	290235	58852	12232	8077	3405	32552
100110	290233	37022	5097	3365	1419	13553
		31022	3091	3300	1717	13300
nhove det	was obtained fr	om a mood "D	C9 Market D	emend and Si	etem Engle	hering <sup>®</sup>
	ary 1994 Moffet,				A PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	<del></del>

MATTHÉW L. LEIBÓWITZ JOSEPH A. B&LISLE ILA L. FELD KARSTEN AMLIÉ

OF COUNSEL

AARON P. SHAINIS

LEE PELTZMAN

SANFORD L. BOHRER

\*NOT ABMITTED TO FLORIDA BAR SUITE 1450
SUNBANK INTERNATIONAL CENTER
ONE SOUTHEAST THIRD AVENUE
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131-1718

TELEPHONE (305) 530-1322 TELECOPIER (305) 530-9417

May 23, 1994

SUITE 400 1255 2370 STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20037

#### Via Hand Delivery

Commissioner Rachelle Chong Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Re:

**PCS Minority Participation** 

Dear Commissioner Chong:

Congratulations on your first day in office.

I represent Jorge Mas and his company, MasTec, Inc., in the matter of Personal Communications Services (PCS). As you may be aware, and as reported by the Wall Street Journal today, there is great concern regarding how the FCC will implement the Congressional mandate included in subsection 4 (D) of Section 309 (j) to ensure that women and minority owned firms, small business, and rural telephone companies are given an equal opportunity to participate in the PCS bidding process. Specifically, although the FCC initially considered frequency set-asides for the designated entities, it now appears that the FCC staff is concerned regarding the constitutionality of such an approach.

It is our belief that frequency set-asides, installment payments, tax certificates, as well as bidding credits, are legally appropriate and economically necessary in order to ensure participation by the designated entities in this new technology. Anything short of <u>all</u> of these devices, <u>particularly set-asides</u>, would fail to properly and fully implement the provisions of Section 309 (j)(4)(D) of the Communications Act.

I would respectfully request a meeting, as soon as possible, to bring several minority representatives to your Office to discuss these matters. It is our understanding that the Chairman intends to bring this matter up at the June 9th meeting, thus time is of the essence, especially given the sunshining of the matter once the Agenda is published, which I understand will be on